

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/061,155	02/04/2002	Yoshinobu Shiraiwa	03500.016155	9159	
5514 FITZPATRICE	7590 04/12/2007 CELLA HARPER & SCI	EXAMINER			
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			HUNTSINGER, PETER K		
NEW YORK,	NY 10112		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2625		
				····	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/061,155	SHIRAIWA, YOSHINOBU		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Peter K. Huntsinger	2625		

	Peter K. Huntsinger	2625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing	of the fee. The appropri nally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)		le of the fillal rejection, t	even ii tiinely meu,
NOTICE OF APPEAL	•		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			554456
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		,,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>51-58</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t hafara ar an tha data of filing a N	ation of Annual will no	t he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	it or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(Is to provide a I).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues on 7-9 of the response in essense that:

In Kobayashi et al. different operation units, i.e., the mode dial and the print key, are used (operated) in the different modes (different conditions) to display the print menu and instruct the printer to print the displayed image.

The print key 24 and mode selection dial 20 of Kobayashi et al. are both controlled by control panel 56 of Fig. 7 (col. 8, lines 26-29). Therefore, Kobayashi et al. disclose a designating unit for designating the image displayed on the display apparatus as a print subject for a printer communicating with said image pickup apparatus (control panel 56 of Fig. 7, col. 8, lines 26-29); and a display control unit for causing the display apparatus to display a print setting screen (Fig. 5B), in accordance with a first designation provided to said designation unit (mode selection dial 20, col. 9, lines 9-25).